

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,296	01/10/2002	Chris D. Constantinides	56783	6836
21874 FDWARDS &	7590 02/06/2007 ANGELL, LLP		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 558	74		CHAO, ELMER M	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3737	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•,		02/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/044,296	CONSTANTINIDES, CHRIS D.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Elmer Chao	3737			

Advisory Action	10/044,296 CONSTANTINIDES, CHRIS D.		CHRIS D.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Elmer Chao	3737				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 02 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprisinally set in the final Office te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	ecause			
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying t				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			DTOL OOA			
. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Description:						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		Il be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27 and 37-39</u> .		•				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>28-36</u> .		•	·			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	rit or other evidence is	necessary and			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	•	ı condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	Elevinanos mos SPE ART UNITA	2000 2000 T 37 6 8			
• •		210 11101 0111				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding Claims 1-7, 9, 12-22, 24, 37-39, the limitations of the claims are met by Judd et al. in view of Berg et al. 23Na and 39K MRI imaging is a type of MRI imaging, and MRI imaging is well known in the art to be performed with an iron oxide contrast agent as taught by Berg et al. Applicant's argument concerning the purpose of the present invention being related to specifically the heart and its effects of addressing the problem of minimal signal intensity would be inherently met by treating myocardial tissue (Berg et al.'s "targeted tissue") with iron oxide contrast agent and imaging the tissue with 23Na or 39K MRI imaging as taught by Judd et al.

Continuation of 13. Other: The amendment filed 1/2/2007 contain additional limitations that would require additional consideration and/or search such as "and provide contrast between the ventricular cavity and infarcted mycardial tissue".